Student Council Meeting Protocol 2025–10–01

Attendance:	Anahita Chavan	Head of the Student Council
	Annie Predel	Second Head of the Student Council
	Joel Lidén	Student Council Secretary
	Mikolaj Sinicka	Student Representative, The Program Board
	Archisman Bhowmik	Class Rep. C1, Student Representative, EIT Institution
	David Wadström	Class Rep. C1
	Fahed Al-Yassiri	Class Rep. D1
	Max Langreiter	Class Rep. D1
	Christofer Gärtner	Class Rep. D2
	Hanna Arendt	Class Rep. C3
	Filip Af Klinteberg	Class Rep. D3
	Lovisa Diding	Class Rep. D3
	Julius Herrmann	Class Rep. VR/AR1
	Ezgi Aysel Bati	Class Rep. VR/AR2
	David Unelind	
	Daniel Adu-Gyan	
	Ernst Padrón	
	Hampus Edén	
	Hadi Kochen	
	Robin Breitenbach	

§1	HDMO	Head of the Student Council Anahita Chavan declared the meeting opened at 12:15.
§2	Time and Place	Time and place was approved.
§3	Approval of Agenda	The meeting **decided** **to** approve the agenda
§4	Approval of Last Meeting Protocol	The meeting **decided** **to** to approve the protocol from **2025-09-24**.
§5	Information from external Representatives	EIT meeting: Nothing special of note. The meeting last week discussed the budget.
§6	Finished Courses	Discrete Structures, *EDAA40* - CEQ meeting: Things were better than last time but there is still room for improvement. The exam was difficult and the exercises should gradually build up the relevant knowledge needed. More TAs are needed. Additionally, the solutions for the exercises should be available from day one next year. Database technology, *EDAF75* - CEQ meeting: In summary, the course is doing well and it might be added to the base block for the D program.

Theoretical Perspectives in Interaction Design, *MAMN50* - CEQ meeting: The reception of the course was both positive and negative. VRAR students overall found the course content to not be relevant to their program.

§7 Ongoing Courses

- C1:

  Everything is going well.

  The lecture about Limits was difficult to grasp at first but it feels more comprehensible today.

- D1:

  Similiar to C1. The last lecture was tricky to follow along. However, as the subject is very new, that is to be expected.

- C2:

  Really big problems as there is a group project in all three courses. The first two groups are randomly assigned and you need to work outside of lab times. In OMD the group can be chosen, however it is still very difficult to have it all work out. Preferably, all courses have to communicate with each other to make the scheduling more flexible and fit better in parallel.

  Communications system, *EITA55*: The course has received a lot of complaints. The scheduling was not set in advance, as non-mandatory times were altered to mandatory. The union is aware of how the course has issues.

- D2:

  OMD, *EDAF60*: Design meeting is not mandatory, but the time of the meetings was announced very suddenly this week.

  Design of Digital Circuits, *EITF65*: In lab 2, you're supposed to use the test bench for checking your program. However, it only checks a small part and not the whole program. We are meant to fill out the rest of the bench despite the task being classified as optional in the instructions. Next year the full test bench could hopefully be provided and instructions updated accordingly.

- C3:

  Web Programming, *EDAF90*: Dates for project hand-in was updated very suddenly this week. The first deadline for the project description is already on Monday with no clear hand in or instructions provided.

- D3:

  Automatic control: The labs can feel impossible to solve, the control questions provided were different than the preperation questions. A TA attempted to catch people cheating/not completing the preparation questions. Ultimately, the issue is in the lab sessions being very different depending on the TA and the questions could be different than what is prepared for.

- VR/AR:

  Nothing special of note.

  Image analysis, *FMAN20*: The last hand-in had some difficulties, however the deadline is generous with many opportunities to hand in.

- Other courses:

  Usability Evaluation, *MAMF50*: The lecture was almost completely empty. Probably due to how understandable the content is, rather than the content of the lecture being bad.

  Secure Systems Engineering, *EITP20*: Nightmare seminar, as the teacher started by bashing what the students had handed in. Their reports followed the structure provided yet the lecturer said it did not. Additionally, a group was publicly accused of using an AI to write their report.

  Language Technology, *EDAN20*: Overall good labs with some errors in them, which caused more questions to be asked about the lab than expected.

§8	Dismissal of Volunteers	No one to dismiss.
§9	Nominations and other Electoral matters	No nominations or other Electoral matters.
§10	Other Matters	Union: Sent out a remiss for changing the guidelines for how to censor a CEQ. Check the study council channel to provide feedback or questions if needed. Unforturnately the documents are only in Swedish.
§11	HDMC	Head of the Student Council Anahita Chavan declared the meeting closed at 12:53.

Recording Secretary	Adjustments
Joel Lidén Student Council Secretary	Anahita Chavan Head of the Student Council